

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/808,205 03/24/2004		03/24/2004	Suzanne T. IIdstad	17541-040001	3925	
26191	7590	08/28/2006		EXAMINER		
FISH & RIG	CHARD	SON P.C.	GIBBS, TERRA C			
PO BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPC	LIS, MI	N 33440-1022		1635		
				DATE MAILED: 08/28/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			,205	IIDSTAD, SUZAN	NNE T.				
			ner	Art Unit					
		Тетта С		1635					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	ation appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI nisions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun operator for reply is specified above, the maximum statute the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ication. ory period will apply and I, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUN event, however, may d will expire SIX (6) MG application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on							
2a)□)□ This action is	s non-final						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims	·	•	·					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.								
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
-	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election r	equirement.						
	on Papers		·	•					
	The specification is objected to by the E	Evaminar							
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		h) objected to	n by the Evaminer					
ا ا	Applicant may not request that any objection	•	•	•					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th				`ED 1 121/d\				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b								
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	,			10 102.				
_	•	. fanaism muianitus	da. 25 U.S.C.	C 440(=) (d) == (6)	•				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	Toreign priority t	inder 35 U.S.C.	9 119(a)-(d) or (t).					
a)ı	— <i>'—</i>	aumonto bovo b	oon received						
	1. Certified copies of the priority do			Application No.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority do				1.04				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of			n received in this Nationa	i Stage				
* 5	application from the Internationa See the attached detailed Office action f	•	` ''	at received					
	see the attached detailed Office action (or a not or the ce	maned copies RC	n isosiysu.					
•									
Attachmen			л. П	0 (076					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO)-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date	,	5) Notice of Other:	Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				
· ape			Outer	·					

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-30 are pending in the instant application.

Claims 1-30 are subject to restriction as detailed below:

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I. Claims 2, 7-12, 14, 15, 17-24, 26, and 27, drawn to a method for conditioning a recipient for bone marrow transplantation, comprising administering to said recipient a composition that specifically depletes $\alpha\beta$ -, and $\gamma\delta$ - TCR⁺ T cells and/or CD8⁺ T cells in the recipients hematopoietic microenvironment, followed by a delayed transplantation with a donor cell preparation containing hematopoietic stem cells from a donor that are matched at the major histocompatibility complex class I K locus with the recipient hematopoietic microenvironment, wherein the composition comprises antibodies specific for $\alpha\beta$ -, and $\gamma\delta$ - TCR⁺ T cells and/or CD8⁺ T cells, and combination therapy thereof, classifiable in class 424, subclass 9.34.

Group II. Claims 3-5, 7-12, 14, 15, 17-24, 26, and 27, drawn to a method for conditioning a recipient for bone marrow transplantation, comprising administering to said recipient a composition that specifically depletes $\alpha\beta$ -, and $\gamma\delta$ - TCR⁺ T cells and/or CD8⁺ T cells in the recipients hematopoietic microenvironment, followed by a delayed transplantation with a donor cell preparation containing hematopoietic stem cells from a donor that are matched at the major histocompatibility complex class I K locus with the recipient hematopoietic microenvironment, wherein the composition comprises

Art Unit: 1635

antisense DNA directed against the precursors of $\alpha\beta$ -, and $\gamma\delta$ - TCR⁺ T cells and/or CD8⁺ T cells, and combination therapy thereof, classifiable in class 435, subclass 375.

Group III. Claims 6-12, 14, 15, 17-24, 26, and 27, drawn to a method for conditioning a recipient for bone marrow transplantation, comprising administering to said recipient a composition that specifically depletes $\alpha\beta$ -, and $\gamma\delta$ - TCR⁺ T cells and/or CD8⁺ T cells in the recipients hematopoietic microenvironment, followed by a delayed transplantation with a donor cell preparation containing hematopoietic stem cells from a donor that are matched at the major histocompatibility complex class I K locus with the recipient hematopoietic microenvironment, wherein the composition comprises a small cytotoxic drug specific for $\alpha\beta$ -, and $\gamma\delta$ - TCR⁺ T cells and/or CD8⁺ T cells, and combination therapy thereof, classifiable in class 514, subclass 2.

Group IV. Claims 28-30, drawn to a method for conditioning a recipient for bone marrow transplantation, comprising subjecting said recipient to a total dose of total body irradiation and infusing the recipient with a donor cell preparation containing hematopoietic stem cells from the donor following total body irradiation, classifiable in class 600, subclass 310.

Claims 1, 13, 16, and 25 links inventions of Groups I-III. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claims, claims 1, 13, 16, and 25. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if

Application/Control Number: 10/808,205

Art Unit: 1635

any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-IV are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions do not overlap in scope, since Groups I-IV each comprise the use of different structures, such as genes (i.e. DNA), proteins (i.e. antibodies or peptides) and/or photon irradiation. Further, Groups I-III have different steps related to administering a composition that specifically depletes $\alpha\beta$ -, and $\gamma\delta$ - TCR* T cells and/or CD8* T cells in the recipients hematopoietic microenvironment, which is not shared by Group IV and therefore Groups I-III do not overlap in scope with Group IV. Groups I-IV are materially distinct methods, which differ in reagents and/or dosages and/or schedules used, response variables, and criteria for success. Because these groups have unique structures or unique steps not shared by any other group, the inventions are also therefore not obvious variants, and

have at least a materially different design. Since it is a burden to search and examine these multiple inventions in a single application due to the fact that the searches are divergent and non-coextensive, restriction is proper therefore.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: diabetes, multiple sclerosis, sickle cell, anemia, hematologic malignancy, and immunodeficiency. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 17, 22, and 24 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/808,205

Art Unit: 1635

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(l).

Application/Control Number: 10/808,205

Art Unit: 1635

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terra C. Gibbs whose telephone number is 571-272-0758. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 am - 5 pm M-F.

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Paras can be reached on 571-272-4517. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

tcg August 23, 2006

Jac C. Jaco